

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>AMANDA FAUX, o/b/o, J.F.,</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:17-CV-1377</b>
	:	
<b>Plaintiff</b>	:	<b>(Chief Judge Conner)</b>
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	
	:	
<b>NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting</b>	:	
<b>Commissioner of Social Security,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>Defendant</b>	:	

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 16th day of May, 2018, upon consideration of the report (Doc. 13) of Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson, recommending that the court vacate the decision of the administrative law judge denying the application of plaintiff Amanda Faux (“Faux”), on behalf of her minor child, J.F., for child disability benefits, and remand this matter for further proceedings, wherein Judge Carlson opines that the administrative law judge’s decision is not “supported by substantial evidence,” 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and it appearing that neither Faux nor the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner”) object to the report, see FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2), and that the Commissioner has expressly waived the opportunity to do so, (see Doc. 14), and the court noting that failure to timely object to a magistrate judge’s conclusions “may result in forfeiture of *de novo* review at the district court level,” Nara v. Frank, 488 F.3d 187, 194 (3d Cir. 2007) (citing Henderson v. Carlson, 812 F.2d 874, 878-79 (3d Cir. 1987)), but that, as a matter of good practice, a district court should “afford some level of review to dispositive legal issues raised by the report,” Henderson, 812

F.2d at 878; see also Taylor v. Comm’r of Soc. Sec., 83 F. Supp. 3d 625, 626 (M.D. Pa. 2015) (citing Univac Dental Co. v. Dentsply Int’l, Inc., 702 F. Supp. 2d 465, 469 (M.D. Pa. 2010)), in order to “satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record,” FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b), advisory committee notes, and, following review of the record, the court in agreement with Judge Carlson’s recommendation, and concluding that there is no clear error on the face of the record, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The report (Doc. 13) of Magistrate Judge Carlson is ADOPTED.
2. The Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in favor of plaintiff Amanda Faux (“Faux”), on behalf of her minor child, J.F., and against the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner”) as set forth in the following paragraph.
3. The Commissioner’s decision is VACATED and this matter is REMANDED to the Commissioner with instructions to conduct a new administrative hearing, develop the record fully, and evaluate the evidence appropriately in accordance with this order and the report (Doc. 13) of Magistrate Judge Carlson.
4. The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case.

/S/ CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER  
Christopher C. Conner, Chief Judge  
United States District Court  
Middle District of Pennsylvania